

# Do you support Hillary Clinton

1. Are you a criminal?
2. Do you like murdering Christians?
3. Do you get off on murdering 10,000 little helpless black Haitian Children because Hillary stole their 90 billion in relief money?
4. Are you down with Hillary's Black genocide in Libya with over 120 mass graves?
5. Do you want to have Nuclear War with Russia just so you can fly air support for ISIS in Syria, when Syria and the Russians are fighting ISIS?
6. Your Sins have found you out
7. You are under Gods Perfect Judgement

<



ENTERTAINMENT

WELLNESS

WHAT'S WORKING

VOICES

VIDEO

ALL S

THE BLOG

## Hillary Clinton Is Living Proof That Crime Pays in Spectacular Ways

07/08/2016 06:12 pm ET | Updated Jul 08, 2016

Riley Waggaman, Former Wonkette editor; current vagrant

There's something darkly instructive about Chelsea Manning's [attempted suicide](#) just a day after the FBI announced that it would not recommend charges against Hillary Clinton.

Manning, as you might recall, is the Benedict Arnold turncoat who exposed U.S. war crimes and is now serving 35 solitary years in a military prison in Kansas. This venomous traitor should not be confused with Mrs. Clinton, who created a private e-mail server to avoid FOIA requests, shared classified material with her posse of yesmen, sold her influence in the State Department to the highest bidders, attempted to destroy evidence of criminal wrongdoing, and will almost certainly be our next president.

The media has focused primarily on how Clinton mishandled sensitive or classified material, but the worst of her crimes have remained hidden from the public. As Politico [points out](#), there's a very good reason why Hillary deleted thousands of "private" emails:

[T]here's an email silence in June 2010, when Hillary Clinton was in South America for a series of high-level meetings. According to her memoir, "by coincidence" Bill was in Bogota, Colombia, apparently for Clinton Foundation work, at the same time she was in the country. Also there with Bill was Frank Giustra, one of the Clinton Foundation's largest contributors. Bill, Hillary and Giustra reportedly had dinner together, and the next morning, Bill met with Colombia's President Alvaro Uribe, followed immediately by Hillary's meeting with Uribe. In the weeks that follow, Giustra's companies scored concessions from the Colombian government on matters ranging from oil to timber.

The Clinton Foundation is a massive money-laundering scheme. Money goes in, [State Department weapons deals come out](#).

Sorry, but it's not a vast right-wing conspiracy: The Clintons have been up to their eyeballs in shady deals and corruption scandals since their humble country bumpkin beginnings in Arkansas, which strangely enough was the staging ground for [a massive CIA cocaine drug smuggling operation](#) while Bill was governor. Coincidence or fate?

Enough already. When you're taking millions of dollars from the Saudis, [and private rides on the "Lolita Express"](#), you have no business being anywhere near the White House.

Just imagine the nightmare before us: Four years of Hillary Clinton lecturing the rest of the world about human rights and the rule of law; four years of Libya-style "humanitarian interventions"; four years of Boss Tweed in a pantsuit.

Crime doesn't pay? Tell that to the Clintons. Lie, cheat and steal and maybe one day you can be president, too.



## MINISTRIES

[HOME](#)[UK](#)[WORLD](#)[CHURCH](#)[SOCIETY](#)[LIFE](#)[ENTERTAINMENT](#)

**LATEST:** [Christians Still At Risk Of Extinction In Iraq Even After Defeat Of ISIS](#)



# Franklin Graham on Russian airstrikes in Syria: They're helping save Christian lives

Czarina Ong | Published 01 October 2015

[Email](#) [Print](#) [Share](#) [Like 260](#) [Tweet](#) [+1 Recommend this](#) [Pin it](#)



Reverend Franklin Graham views the Russian air strikes as a means to protect persecuted Christians. | Reuters

<

There is no question that the airstrikes launched by Russia in Syria on Wednesday would cause a lot of trouble and damage. However, American evangelist Franklin Graham believes some good could still come out of it. Speaking on Newsmax TV, Graham said the Russian airstrikes in Syria might just end up saving the lives of countless persecuted Christians. "What Russia is doing may save the lives of Christians in the Middle East," said Graham. "You understand that the Syrian government for their good and for their bad over the history of this country, they have protected Christians, they have protected minorities from the Islamists."

The airstrikes were launched in the Syrian provinces of Homs and Hama, which have been hounded by a four-year-old civil war. The rebels living in these areas have been working hard to bring down the government of President Bashar al-Assad.

Should they succeed in toppling the government of Syria and its other institutions, Graham believes there would be "a bloodbath of Christians."

"There were would be tens of thousands of Christians murdered and slaughtered and on top of that, you would have hundreds of thousands of more refugees pouring into Europe. So Russia right now, I see their presence as helping to save the lives of Christians," he said.

So far, the airstrikes have reportedly claimed the lives of at least 33 civilians, including children. Graham blames the Obama administration's lack of leadership for their deaths and for many others' suffering.

"If you look at ISIS, ISIS came about because of the failed leadership of President Obama. His generals told him not to pull the US troops out of Iraq. He did it anyway," Graham said. "The government of Iraq began to marginalise the Sunnis. So when the Sunnis saw that they didn't have a seat at the table anymore, ISIS was formed and they joined with the ISIS inside of Syria."

Graham slammed Obama for being more invested in promoting same-sex marriage and the agenda of the gay and lesbian community than he is in protecting Christians.

"I'm not here to bash the gays and lesbians and they certainly have rights and I understand all of that, but this administration has been more focused on that agenda than anything else," he clarified. "As a result, the Middle East is burning and you have more refugees moving today since World War II. It could have been prevented."

## YourNewsWire.com

News. Truth. Unfiltered.

HOME NEWS HEALTH SCI/ENVIRONMENT TECHNOLOGY ENTERTAINMENT CONSPIRACIES CONTACT US

### Hillary Clinton Admits ISIS Was Created By U.S. Government

Posted on June 6, 2016 by Sean Adl-Tabatabai in Conspiracies // 6 Comments



Share 28K G+ 46 Tweet 1 point

The mainstream media are covering up the fact that Hillary Clinton admitted in public that the U.S. government created both ISIS and Al Qaeda.

Why are most mainstream media outlets refusing to tell the public that the U.S. has never actively fought ISIS, but has instead supported them secretly in the Middle East?

[Chuckbaldwinlive.com](http://Chuckbaldwinlive.com) reports:

I'll tell you why: the MSM is nothing more than a propaganda machine for the U.S. government—no matter which party is in power. The MSM doesn't work for the U.S. citizenry. It doesn't even work for its corporate sponsors. It works for the Washington Power Elite permanently ensconced in D.C. (and yes, those same Power Elite control most of those media corporate sponsors).

It is a sad reality that if one wants to get accurate news reporting, one must mostly bypass the U.S. propaganda media and look to sources outside the U.S. Here is a Canadian publication that covered the Hillary admission:

"The following video features Presidential candidate Hillary Clinton acknowledging that America created and funded Al Qaeda as a terrorist organization in the heyday of the Soviet-Afghan war:

"Let's remember here... the people we are fighting today we funded them twenty years ago.

"Let's go recruit these mujahideen.

"And great, let them come from Saudi Arabia and other countries, importing their Wahabi brand of Islam so that we can go beat the Soviet Union."

"What she does not mention is that at no time in the course of the last 35 years has the US ceased to support and finance Al Qaeda as a means to destabilizing sovereign countries. It was 'a pretty good idea', says Hillary, and it remains a good idea today:

"Amplly documented, the ISIS and Al Nusrah Mujahideen are recruited by NATO and the Turkish High command, with the support of Saudi Arabia, Qatar and Israel.

"The more fundamental question:

"Should a presidential candidate who candidly acknowledges that 'We created Al Qaeda' without a word of caution or regret become president of the US, not to mention Hillary's commitment to waging nuclear war on Russia if and when she becomes president of the United States of America."

The report continues:

"The Global War on Terror (GWOT) is led by the United States. It is not directed against Al Qaeda.

"Quite the opposite: The 'Global War on Terrorism' uses Al Qaeda terrorist operatives as their foot soldiers.

“Political Islam’ and the imposition of an ‘Islamic State’ (modeled on Qatar or Saudi Arabia) is an integral part of US foreign policy.”

The report further states:

“It is a means to destabilizing sovereign countries and imposing ‘regime change’.

“Clinton’s successor at the State Department, John Kerry is in direct liaison with Al Nusra, an Al Qaeda affiliated organization in Syria, integrated by terrorists and funded by the US and its allies.

“In a bitter irony, John Kerry is not only complicit in the killings committed by Al Nusra, he is also in blatant violation of US anti-terrorist legislation. If the latter were to be applied to politicians in high office, John Kerry would be considered as a ‘Terror Suspect’”.

See the report here:

[Hillary Clinton: “We Created Al Qaeda”. The Protagonists Of The “Global War On Terrorism” Are The Terrorists](#)

Think it through, folks: the U.S. government creates the radical Islamic terror networks that justify America’s “Global War On Terror” which directly results in millions of refugees (and no doubt plants terrorists among them) flooding Europe. At the same time, it purposely refuses to protect our own borders and even forces states and local communities to accept hundreds of thousands of Muslim refugees (but the government is not sending any Christian refugees to America, even though a sizable percentage of the refugees include Christians also) and pushes NATO to the doorstep of Russia, which to any objective observer could only be regarded as an overt incitement to war.

Furthermore, why doesn’t the MSM report the words of Hillary saying that the “best way to help Israel” is to destroy Syria? Why doesn’t the media acknowledge that official U.S. foreign policy is to foment perpetual war, not in the name of the safety and security of the United States, but in the name of “helping” Israel?

Here is how the same Canadian publication covers this part of the story:

“A newly-released Hillary Clinton email confirmed that the Obama administration has deliberately provoked the civil war in Syria as the ‘best way to help Israel.’

“In an indication of her murderous and psychopathic nature, Clinton also wrote that it was the ‘right thing’ to personally threaten Bashar Assad’s family with death.

“In the email, released by Wikileaks, then Secretary of State Clinton says that the ‘best way to help Israel’ is to ‘use force’ in Syria to overthrow the government.”

It continues:

“Even though all US intelligence reports had long dismissed Iran’s ‘atomic bomb’ program as a hoax, (a conclusion supported by the International Atomic Energy Agency), Clinton continues to use these lies to ‘justify’ destroying Syria in the name of Israel.”

And again:

“The email proves—as if any more proof was needed—that the US government has been the main sponsor of the growth of terrorism in the Middle East, and all in order to ‘protect’ Israel.

“It is also a sobering thought to consider that the ‘refugee’ crisis which currently threatens to destroy Europe, was directly sparked off by this US government action as well, insofar as there are any genuine refugees fleeing the civil war in Syria.

“In addition, over 250,000 people have been killed in the Syrian conflict, which has spread to Iraq—all thanks to Clinton and the Obama administration backing the ‘rebels’ and stoking the fires of war in Syria.”

See the report here:

[Hillary Clinton: Destroy Syria For Israel: “The Best Way To Help Israel”](#)

If destroying Syria is the way we “help” Israel, how many other nations must the U.S. destroy to “help” Israel? And before John Hagee’s braindead disciples start shouting “Destroy them all!” I remind you that Syria and other parts of the Middle East is the historic home of millions of Christians going back to the time of the Apostle Paul.

The truth is, Hillary (and the rest of the grubby gaggle of Neocons) doesn’t give a tinker’s dam about Israel. Neocons such as Hillary Clinton simply use Israel (and the misguided passions of Christians and conservatives who blindly support Israel) as cover to accomplish their real agenda: manipulating world governments to the enrichment and empowerment of themselves.

Donald Trump is untested. But if Hillary should be elected, I’m confident she would not make it through her first term without taking us into another G.W. Bush-type war (or worse)—except she will also add the attempted disarmament of the American people to her nefarious agenda.

That’s what Neocons do: they foment war. To their very soul, they are warmongers. And never forget that Hillary Clinton is a true-blue Neocon. Or if the word “Neoliberal” sounds better to you in describing Hillary, so be it. They both mean the same thing: WAR.

Here is a good explanation of how both Neocons and Neolibs are working from the same script:

[Neocons And Neolibs: How Dead Ideas Kill](#)

On the whole, Neocons and Neolibers are people without conscience. At their core, they have no allegiance to the United States or any other country. They are globalists. The only god they serve is the god of power and wealth, and they don't care how many people—including Americans—they kill to achieve it. The blood of millions of dead victims around the world is already dripping from their murderous hands.

And if you think my indictment against the Neocons is an exaggeration, Paul Craig Roberts (Assistant Secretary of the Treasury under President Ronald Reagan) was even more scathing in his condemnation of them:

"The remaining danger is the crazed American neoconservatives. I know many of them. They are completely insane ideologues. This inhuman filth has controlled the foreign policy of every US government since Clinton's second term. They are a danger to all life on earth. Look at the destruction they have wreaked in the former Yugoslavia, in Ukraine, in Georgia and South Ossetia, in Africa, in Afghanistan and the Middle East. The American people were too brainwashed by lies and by political impotence to do anything about it, and Washington's vassals in Europe, UK, Canada, Australia, and Japan had to pretend that this policy of international murder was 'bringing freedom and democracy.'

"The crazed filth that controls US foreign policy is capable of defending US hegemony with nuclear weapons. The neoconservatives must be removed from power, arrested, and put on international trial for their horrendous war crimes before they defend their hegemony with Armageddon.

"Neoconservatives and their allies in the military/security complex make audacious use of false flag attacks. These evil people are capable of orchestrating a false flag attack that propels the US and Russia to war."

Feedback [Follow @MailOnline](#) Tuesday, Oct 25th 2016 4PM 81°F 7PM 73°F 5-Day Forecast

**Daily Mail**.com **News**

Home | U.K. **News** | Sports | U.S. Showbiz | Australia | Femail | Health | Science | Money | Video | Travel | Columnists

Latest Headlines | News | World News | Arts | Headlines | Pictures | Most read | News Board | Wires [Login](#)

## **Christians face being wiped out from the Middle East within TEN YEARS as they are killed by ISIS or forced to flee persecution, warn Catholic aid groups**

By [Simon Tomlinson for MailOnline](#)

Christians face being wiped out from the Middle East within ten years as increasing numbers are killed by Muslim extremists or forced to flee persecution, Catholic aid groups have warned.

The alarming rate of decline means the religion could vanish in some areas in just five years unless the world steps in, says the international Catholic charity Aid to the Church in Need.

In Iraq, where Christians are being butchered in mass executions by the [Islamic State](#), their number has plunged from one million to just 275,000 in the last 12 years alone.

Christians before beheading them in a horrific video released in February. Catholic aid groups warn that Christians face being wiped out from the Middle East within ten years as increasing numbers are killed by Muslim extremists or forced to flee persecution

The study says the faith is also facing increasing pressure in Saudi Arabia, Iran and other Gulf nations, adding that the Church is being 'silenced and driven out of its ancient biblical heartland'.

In a report titled *Persecuted & Forgotten?*, the British-based group says: 'At a time when the numbers of displaced and refugees have hit an all-time high, Islamists groups — ISIS foremost — are carrying out religiously motivated ethnic cleaning of Christians.'

'In parts of the Middle East — particularly in Syria and Iraq — the crisis is so severe that barring significant interventions on the part of world powers, the Christian presence may disappear completely within a decade or even sooner.'





The picture is just as bleak in Syria, which is plagued by civil war, ISIS atrocities and separate bombing campaigns from the West and Russia.

[See full news coverage of the Islamic State with more on Christian executions](#)



Syrian migrants break through the cordon of Macedonian police forces, near the town of Gevgelija, after fleeing persecution by ISIS and the civil war

In the last week, around 15,000 Christians have reportedly been forced from their homes north of Damascus.

The report concludes: 'The decline of Christianity may significantly damage the prospects for peace in nations and regions where Church leaders — lay and clergy — have played important roles in promoting and providing education, community development and interfaith co-operation.'

The views were echoed by 21st Century Wilberforce, a U.S. nonprofit group dedicated to promoting religious freedom worldwide which highlights how Christians have already been purged from Iraq's second biggest city, Mosul, by ISIS militants.

Executive vice president Elijah Brown told [Fox News](#): 'Last Christmas was the first time that bells did not ring out in the city of Mosul in 2,000 years.'

'I think that speaks to the reality that hundreds of thousands of Christian families are living on the edge of extinction.'

Read more:

- [On the brink: Christianity facing Middle East purge within decade, says group | Fox News](#)

Wednesday, October 26, 2016

Sign in / Join



# ATLANTA BLACK STAR

COVER PAGE ENTERTAINMENT ▾ POLITICS ▾ WORLD ▾ HER SIDE ▾ VIDEOS ▾ MORE ▾



Home ▸ Caribbean ▸ Lock Her Up: Haitians at DNC Accuse Hillary Clinton of Defrauding Country...

Caribbean News World

## Lock Her Up: Haitians at DNC Accuse Hillary Clinton of Defrauding Country out of Billions For Earthquake Relief

By Tanasia Kenney - July 27, 2016

8558 3



PHILADELPHIA, Pennsylvania, USA (sentinel.ht) – Haitians at the Democratic National Convention in Philadelphia are trying to bring attention to Haiti, where Bill and Hillary Clinton are accused of [defrauding the country of billions in earthquake relief money](#) and destabilizing the nation's economy and state institutions.

Mainstream media has moreover tried to ignore this most significant part of the Clintons' background. It is where Bill and Hillary Clinton spent their honeymoon. But since then, the poor island nation has become a source of undue enrichment for friends and family of the Clintons and they themselves.

### The Two-Pronged Tragedy

When the 2010 earthquake hit Haiti, former U.S. President Bill Clinton and then-Secretary of State Hillary Clinton exacerbated the devastation to their own benefit. In the end, billions of dollars donated by individuals from countries all around the world, weeks upon weeks of top story attention on any and every news agency around the world, left Haitians not just where they began, but in worse circumstances.

### Financially

Bill Clinton was head of the United Nations Envoy to Haiti and managed himself to head the Interim Haiti Reconstruction Commission (IHRC). It was a commission of Haitian and international actors who would coordinate more than \$13 billion [US] in earthquake relief and reconstruction money. It wouldn't take long before members of the commission began complaining about being shut out of discussions and planning.

By all accounts, the money and what it was meant to do never really reached the Haitian people. While the Clinton Foundation only puts 10% of its donations towards charity, the IHRC was a real racket for Clinton pals, 1% went towards Haitian groups and agencies on the ground. All that is transparent is that the money didn't go to Haiti, beyond that the trail goes cold.

The money for earthquake relief was funneled massively to friends of the Clintons, who so happen to be donors to the Bill, Hillary and Chelsea Clinton Foundation and Hillary's 2016 presidential campaign.

It was a major kickback scheme. Even the projects that were expected of the Clinton cronies didn't match up to their billing and barely endured a year. The State Department Inspector General would release a scathing report of waste, poor oversight, to a degree all but criminal.



## Former State Dept Official: Clinton Foundation 'Directly Responsible' for Killing 10,000 Haitians



The Clinton Foundation can be characterized as a slush fund, a vehicle for influence peddling, a source of income for the Clintons, and probably several other derogatory things. If it is a charity, it's one of the strangest ones created in that at least one non-profit charity rating firm cannot rate it because it cannot figure out the Clinton Foundation's business model. So far, it sounds just like something the Clintons would come up with.

Charities typically operate as a benefit to whatever segment of humanity or other target that they direct their efforts. In another significant departure from what you'd expect from a charity, the Clinton Foundation doesn't. Okay, perhaps that's a bit harsh — the thing may blunder into some activities from time to time that actually do

help people out. Tragically, in total contrast to what charities are supposed to do, this one has had a devastating impact, this time on the people of Haiti.

If the Clinton Foundation really is supposed to be a charity, its leadership has some serious explaining to do. Either they haven't figured out that a charity is supposed to be a benefit, or they don't care. Witness the catastrophic impact of their efforts in Haiti.

Bill and Hillary Clinton are directly responsible for the deaths of 10,000 Haitians as a result of their Foundation's incompetence and corruption in the aftermath of the earthquake that struck Haiti in 2010, says Dr. Steve Piezenik.

We admit that we're very critical of the Clintons here. We believe that their ethics, such as they have any, are profoundly corrupt, and color most every activity in which they participate. But that headline just quoted goes way beyond anything we've ever proposed. If true, legal consequences are imperative.

"It was negligence," said Dr. Piezenik on The Alex Jones Show Tuesday. "They brought in Nepalese soldiers who had no idea what they were doing, the Clintons had no idea, Bill Clinton as usual was completely incompetent as was Hillary, and they had all kinds of cross-purpose activities going on."

What specifically happened is utterly repulsive, and shows a level of incompetence or indifference that borders on the criminal, which is why Haitians [gathered outside DNC this year demanding Hillary's Arrest](#). More from Piezenik:

"They were specifically negligent," said Piezenik. "Because of the E. coli sepsis that they created defecating in the water. So there's criminal charges involved."

"Not only were they robbers, they were murderers."

Even Chelsea was aware that things were going very wrong with the Clinton Foundation's efforts in Haiti.

"If we do not quickly change the organization, management, accountability and delivery paradigm on the ground, we could quite conceivably confront tens of thousands of children's deaths by diarrhea, dysentery, typhoid and other water-related diseases in the near future," she wrote.

From her letter, it appears that Chelsea might actually have some concern for others, a characteristic sorely lacking in her parents. Or maybe she was just afraid of going to jail over the whole thing.

Wouldn't a vigorous, independent audit of the Clinton Foundation's finances and alleged charitable projects be a joy to behold? We can hope, can't we?



## The Haitian Senate President recalled an attempt by Bill Clinton's White House to bribe him into supporting regime change in Haiti, says Clinton Foundation stole "billions" from the country.

Sansaricq detailed that he spoke with Clinton confidante and former New Mexico Governor Bill Richardson who the White House had sent in hopes of "appeasing" the Haitian politician to side against the nation's military regime. The former Haitian legislator begged Richardson to "take the message back to President Clinton" to not invade the Haiti for fear it would destabilize the country.

A week later the American embassy reportedly sent an anonymous message back to Sansaricq, according to his testimonial at the Trump supporter event, saying that if the politician sided with the White House plans to invade then he would become "the richest man in Haiti."

Sansaricq, who later immigrated to the United States and is now an American citizen, said that he declined Clinton's offer because he "loved his country." The former Haitian lawmaker went on to an unsuccessful run for US Congress in Florida in 2012 on the Republican ticket

Not only did the former Senate President of Haiti suggest that Bill Clinton attempted to bribe him in 2012, but he also said that the Clinton Foundation withheld "billions of dollars" in donations from Haiti.

It is impossible to determine whether these allegations are true because the Clinton Foundation has never undergone an independent audit of their finances such that it could be determined that all of the proceeds reported as donated and transferred to various charities represents a full accounting of receipts.

The Clinton Foundation has frequently faced allegations of malfeasance for their work in 2010, during which time Hillary Clinton served as the Secretary of State, after reportedly raising \$30 million

for Haiti relief projects that many locals say never fully materialized while others point to luxury hotels that were allegedly constructed with relief funds in order to benefit the country's ruling establishment.

The Daily Caller reports that the Clinton Foundation and Bill Clinton have not responded to the serious allegations levied by the Haitian politician.

Townhall

COLUMNISTS

TIPSHEET

CARTOONS

RADIO NEWS

ELECTION 2016

SECTIONS

Townhall | Columnists | Joy Overbeck

# Hillary Cares About You? Ask the Haitians She Ripped Off



Joy Overbeck | Posted: Sep 03, 2016 12:01 AM

Share (5)



The group of Haitians [demonstrating](#) on the sidewalk at the Democratic National Convention in Philadelphia were furious with Bill and Hillary Clinton. A passionate Haitian-American named Joseph Mathieu frankly accused the couple of “destroying Haiti for the decades. They pretend to be our friends when in reality they are our number one enemies...the Clintons were running Haiti, stealing our money, our resources and we are out here, outraged for the crimes of the Clintons!”

Then Mathieu labeled the international power couple racists: “The Clintons don’t like black people,” he thundered. “All they do is more welfare, more jails, more food stamps—we don’t need that, we need more opportunity, more education!”

They have good reason to wave their “Hillary! Where Is the MONEY?” signs. Following the horrific 2010 earthquake that devastated the island

nation, killing over 200,000 and leaving over 1.5 million people homeless, some 9 billion dollars in pledges and aid flowed in from sympathetic individuals, nations, and charities for the rebuilding. The Clintons together became the royal arbiters in charge of just how and where this vast treasury would be spent. Dubbed “The King and Queen of Haiti” in a Politico piece, like medieval rulers of yore, their majesties doled out favors to their loyal courtiers and nobles. In the case of Haiti, the nobles were the Clintons’ millionaire pals and relatives, and the favors amounted to billions in grants and contracts bestowed on them.

Those billions intended to rebuild the ruined nation instead disappeared into fantasy houses and roads that were never built, jobs that were never created, a national revival that never happened, and often private bank accounts never traceable. And a company with Tony Rodham, Hillary’s brother, on the board, somehow scored a lucrative 25-year gold mining deal.

Haiti is important because its colossal need and its suffering people gave Bill and Hillary a shining test case to demonstrate how much they love the less fortunate, to be the do-gooders they always claim to be. After all, for decades Hillary has insisted that her life’s work has been improving the lives of the poor and oppressed all over the globe. Haiti also holds a very special place in the Clintons’ hearts; it’s where they chose to spend their honeymoon in 1975 and marks the re-igniting of his political star.

It was a bittersweet time as Bill had just lost his congressional election in Arkansas. Dejected, he was thinking about abandoning his ambitions until the honeymooners attended a voodoo temple ritual honoring Ogou the god of iron, war and politics. Then an all-night talk with the voodoo priest helped them “reach the conclusion that the pursuit of God is the pursuit of excellence” according to the priest. Right then, Bill decided to run for Arkansas attorney general and his meteoric career was launched. Later, when First Lady Hillary told the world she liked to wander the halls of the White House, conversing with the spirit of Eleanor Roosevelt...but that’s another story.

In any case, after the earthquake Bill was convinced that Haiti “is still one of the most remarkable, unique places I have ever been and they can...build a better future if we do our part.” But they didn’t, although their absolute power to direct the reconstruction in addition to the colossal funding they wielded gave them every humanly possible way to turn Haiti’s tragedy into triumph.

In 2009, the U.N. had named Bill special envoy to Haiti largely because of his personal affection for the small, troubled nation. After the earthquake, he along with the Haitian prime minister Jean-Max Bellerive, became co-chairs of the Interim Haiti Recovery Commission (IHRC). As the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) put it, “IHRC was to “coordinate donors, conduct strategic planning, approve reconstruction projects and provide accountability.” Hillary Clinton as secretary of state was also responsible for disbursing funds -- the 1.14 billion in taxpayer money allocated by Congress to Haitian relief (USAID.) Some of those decisions she apparently delegated to chief of staff Cheryl Mills – yes, that Cheryl Mills.

But according to GAO documents reported in Peter Schweizer’s book Clinton Cash, the IHRC ignored the action plan and funding priorities that had been set up by the Haitian government and donor countries. Also, much of the taxpayer money intended for practical rebuilding was squandered. Here’s where Clinton’s buddies come in; they’re specialists at squandering – and in the process raking in big money for themselves.

One of the top priorities when you’ve got over 1.5 million homeless people should be housing. Among the most deplorable Bill-orchestrated failures is his promise even before the earthquake that his friend Claudio Osorio, CEO of a company called InnoVida, planned to build “10,000 houses in Haiti at \$5,000 apiece for impoverished Haitians,” according to an NPR report. Never happened. Clinton made this pledge in a speech at Claudio’s lavish 2007 fundraiser for Hillary’s first presidential run, held in Osorio’s Miami Beach mansion. Besides raising cash for Clintons, Osorio donated between \$10,000 and \$25,000 to the Clinton Foundation in 2009, with his company making a similar contribution. (Actual numbers are hard to come by due to squirrely Foundation records.)



When the earthquake hit, Osorio was back seeking a \$10 million loan from the U.S. government (that's our money) to build 500 homes. Testimony from government and InnoVida officials shows his cuddly relationship with Bill and Hillary got him special treatment and fast-tracked the loan. The homes were never built, mostly because Osorio, who lived big, drove a Maserati, and had multiple vacation homes, was convicted in 2012 of fraud.

Prosecutors proved he used the funds meant for relief victims for his personal benefit and to repay his investors in what was essentially a Ponzi scheme. Claudio Osorio drew a 12-year prison sentence and the next year the court-appointed receiver had to sue the Foundation to get the money he donated back.

In another housing debacle, this time led by Hillary and her state department minions, a congressional audit found mismanagement of a plan meant to house up to 90,000 Haitians but which USAID now says will only benefit 3,200 to 15,900 at nearly [double](#) the original cost of \$59 million. Of course, this again is our taxpayer money, so no problem.

In a Wall Street Journal report broadcast on Fox News, investigative reporter Mary O'Grady said the Inter-American Development Bank (the U.S. is IDB's major funder) revealed a total of 3 billion dollars was dispensed to be "managed by the Interim Haitian Recovery Commission with almost nothing to show for it." Of course the IHRC is Bill Clinton—the same Bill Clinton who Hillary promises to put in charge of the U.S. economy because he's so good at it.

The Clinton-backed "power and port" project is the most colossal calamity yet, according to the Judicial Watch report on the federal audit. It's cost \$170 million so far, much of it paid to consultants – unbelievably, the plan is still in the planning stages and years behind schedule. Another \$117 to \$189 million will be required to complete it, says the government report, and that money will probably need to come from us. The taxpayers.

We can learn much from the Clinton's Haiti fiasco , only a sliver of which I have covered here. When they say they will bring jobs and prosperity because they care so much about us -- that's exactly what they told the Haitians. When Hillary brags about her managerial skills, her experience as

a leader, and her competence at improving peoples' lives, think of how those leadership and decision-making skills improved the lives of Haitians, most of whom are still living in makeshift plastic tents, jobless.

If the Clintons couldn't make their promises come true in a tiny country with a few million population and \$9 billion to spend, how could they even come close in a huge America of over 300 million?

Here is Haitian-American demonstrator Joseph Mathieu's warning, "What have the Clintons done with the foundation? Just enriched themselves, stealing! We cannot allow liar Hillary to be president – there is no justice anymore because American justice is crooked!"

## the "silent" majority no more!

A coalition of Americans. We come together because we must. To take back our country.

### Haiti asks Clintons "WHERE'S the Billions" [10-06-16]

Posted on October 21, 2016 by Pamela Hall | 3 Comments

<

**The NYC Haitian Community** (& allies) held a press conference (of sorts) to "denounce **Schneiderman's double standard in deciding to persecute the Trump Foundation for fraud while ignoring the lack of audits and billions `missing` from the Clinton Foundation.**" They **demand the Clinton's return BILLIONS stolen from Haiti.** Thursday, October 6, 2016 from 3:00 to 4:00pm outside **New York State Attorney General Eric Schneiderman's** NYC Office (at 120 Broadway)

*"The Clintons gave lucrative no bid contracts for Haiti earthquake cleanup, reconstruction and housing to cronies who in turn gave them high-priced speeches and `donated` to their foundation. They imposed **Michel `Sweet Micky` Martelly**, a degenerate crackhead as President of our country to ensure that **the Haitian Government** wouldn't call them out on their crimes."*

*"The complicity of mainstream and even some so-called progressive media in this charade is disgusting. We demand that the different branches of US federal and local governments stop this blatant cover up of **the crimes of Hillary Clinton and the Clinton family.**"*



*“With Haiti and her wonderful people under assault again, it should grieve all Americans that **Bill Clinton and his false-front network pretending to be “charity” refuses to account for billions of dollars in missing aid money.** What kind of people divert rescue funds from poor neighbors who share so many common bonds? The people of Haiti deserve a bright future...*

*As unbelievable as it sounds Bill Clinton has published a tweet directing people wishing to help Haiti in the aftermath of the hurricane **to donate to the Clinton Foundation and their network of crooks in Haiti.** [...] we would like to thank all well meaning people who have expressed their concerns and positive vibrations toward our people with regard to Hurricane Matthew which has ravaged the Southern parts of Haiti. [...] We thank everyone who is eager to make a donation but given our experience with international NGO’s such as the Red Cross and the Clinton Foundation stealing moneys donated to help our people, we ask people not to repeat the same mistake they made in the past.*

#### **WHERE ARE THE BILLIONS!**

*... the Haitian people... have no idea what they have done with all the billions meant to help Haiti that have been in their charge: Secretary of State Hillary’s control of all USAID money, Bill’s UN Special Envoy money, Bill’s Interim Haiti Recovery Commission money, Bill’s Clinton-Bush Fund money, and of course their Clinton Foundation money.*

*...the Clinton Foundation .... collects billions on behalf of poor non-white people all over the world; keeps billions for themselves and spends a few million on silly projects that will never allow their victims to get on their feet.[...] This Foundation is no more than a channel for the Clintons to enrich themselves, keep those loyal to them employed and amass a war chest so they can stay in power and out of jail.*

**Even when the Clinton Foundation tried to perform its good deed for the year, it cut corners on charity to keep up its core mission of getting Hillary into the White House.** It shipped AIDS medicines that had been banned in the United States to the Third World. Haitians protested outside Hillary’s office over the millions that had been raised for Haiti, but got funneled to Hillary pals like Warren Buffett instead.

**The Clintons are attracted to corruption the way that flies are drawn to offal.** The media can spot no flies on Hillary. Its fact checkers excuse every scandal. They even invent a positive spin for a fly landing on her. The ordinary fly visiting Hillary may hold no special meaning. But flies are notoriously attracted to rotting things. And **no political figures in this country are as rotten to the core as the Clintons.**

**Hillary skates on charge after charge. No matter how many laws they break. Wake Up, America!**

[Dinesh Dsouza – Haiti and the Clintons](#)

[Brietbart – Bill & the Clinton Foundation](#)

# Human rights investigations

evidence-based, independent and rigorous investigation of human rights abuses

[Home](#) / [Conflict in Libya](#) / [Libyan rebel ethnic cleansing and lynching of black people](#)



## Libyan rebel ethnic cleansing and lynching of black people

July 7, 2011 — 172 Comments

Further specific evidence has emerged that there is a strong racist element within the rebel forces, including at command level, and it is the stated intention of these forces to ethnically cleanse areas they capture of their dark-skinned inhabitants.

### Racism amongst the rebels including at command level

In a recent [article](#) in the Wall Street Journal, journalist Sam Dagher pointed out the obvious fact that the Libyan war is aggravating ethnic tensions in that country. The article talks about the fate of Tawergha, a small town 25 miles to the south of Misrata, inhabited mostly by black Libyans, a legacy of its 19th-century origins as a transit town in the slave trade:

*Ibrahim al-Halbous, a rebel commander leading the fight near Tawergha, says all remaining residents should leave once if his fighters capture the town. "They should pack up," Mr. Halbous said. "Tawergha no longer exists, only Misrata."*

Other rebel leaders are reported as:

*"calling for drastic measures like banning Tawergha natives from ever working, living or sending their children to schools in Misrata."*

In addition, according to the article, as a result of the battle for Misrata:

*nearly four-fifths of residents of Misrata's Ghoushi neighborhood were Tawergha natives. Now they are gone or in hiding, fearing revenge attacks by Misratans, amid reports of bounties for their capture.*

Amid allegations of black mercenaries and stories of mass rape by the inhabitants of Tawergha, Sam Dagher reports on further evidence of the racism amongst the rebel forces:

*Some of the hatred of Tawergha has racist overtones that were mostly latent before the current conflict. On the road between Misrata and Tawergha, rebel slogans like "the brigade for purging slaves, black skin" have supplanted pro-Gadhafi scrawl.*

*The racial tensions have been fueled by the regime's alleged use of African mercenaries to violently suppress demonstrators at the start of the Libyan uprising in February, and the sense that the south of the country, which is predominantly black, mainly backs Col. Gadhafi.*

This information has already been publicised, in the WSJ and also in the [Black Star News](#). Bryan Chan of the [Los Angeles Times](#) reports visiting a prison in Benghazi, where terrified black men were paraded for the cameras (with Human Rights Watch silently taking notes). One man bravely protested he was just a guest worker and the guards presented a Gambian passport as proof he was a Gaddafi operative. Chan's Libyan interpreter asked:

*"So what do you think? Should we just go ahead and kill them?"*

There is a lot of horrific video footage clearly showing [public lynchings in Benghazi](#) (link to graphic description of some of the footage). including at the rebel HQ, beheadings of blindfolded prisoners and interrogation of prisoners, including in hospitals.

### **The myth of black mercenaries leads to lynchings**

Other evidence of the massacres of black people, which include the lynchings and murder of black soldiers of the Libyan army, guest workers from other African countries and dark-skinned Libyan civilians include a report from the BBC on 25 February which cited a Turkish construction worker as saying:

*"We had 70-80 people from Chad working for our company. They were cut dead with pruning shears and axes, attackers saying: 'You are providing troops for Gaddafi.' The Sudanese were also massacred. We saw it for ourselves."*

On 27th February Nick Meo of The Telegraph reported from Al-Bayda that he had been shown mobile phone footage of a 'captured mercenary' (presumably he means black person with a uniform) lynched from a street lamp as well as a 'black African hanging on a meat hook.'

Amnesty International crisis researcher, Donatella Rovera spent the period from 27 February to 29th May in Misrata, Benghazi, Ajabiya and Ras Lanouf. Yesterday she was [interviewed](#) by Austria's 'The Standard' and had this to say on the subject:

*"We examined this issue in depth and found no evidence. The rebels spread these rumours everywhere, which had terrible consequences for African guest workers: there was a systematic hunt for migrants, some were lynched and many arrested. Since then, even the rebels have admitted there were no mercenaries, almost all have been released and have returned to their countries of origin, as the investigations into them revealed nothing."*

### **Who spread the myth and why?**

So what accounts for the widespread popularity of this myth? It is, to be frank, an example of highly successful propaganda, appealing to the basest of racial stereotypes. The myth was highly important in gaining consent for the operation in Libya, in order to cover up and justify the massacres of black people taking place.

In account after account, the mercenary myth is used to justify the imprisoning and killing of black people and this process continues today. Given the background of racial tension in Libya, including the October 2000 race riots which led to the killings of 200 people with 1000s forced to flee, the consequences of the spreading of this propaganda were entirely predictable and constitute incitement to commit atrocities.

The myth of black mercenaries was spread by certain political leaders including members of the National Transitional Council in Benghazi, British Defence Minister Liam Fox and NATO spokesperson [Oana Longescu](#).

According to Amnesty, allegations of “African mercenaries” have led to the lynchings

### The viagra myth

On the viagra myth beloved of the [ICC Prosecutor Luis Moreno Ocampo](#), Donatella Rovera had this to say:

*“No one really took that seriously did they? On the 21 March, after the first air strikes on Gadaffi’s troops outside Benghazi, a young man who worked in the media centre presented us with many boxes of the potency drug. He claimed to have found them in the destroyed tanks. The vehicles had been completely burnt out, but the packaging looked brand new. I can not believe that anyone took him seriously.”*

### NATO enabling human rights abuses

So is NATO actually “protecting civilians” – or is it rather supporting rebels, some of whom who intend to harm dark-skinned Libyans and ethnically cleanse areas over which they take control?

The information contained in this post, is widely known and has been reported in the [Independent](#) and other newspapers, so NATO can not claim ignorance of the facts.

As this is being written, the “brigade for purging slaves and black skin,” is advancing on Tawurgha, supported by NATO strikes from the air and on the ground by Special Forces. A rebel commander has declared the intention is to wipe the town off the map and we have already seen the lynchings of black people and the driving out of black people from Ghoushi.

By continuing to escalate the conflict in Libya, allowing the arming and supporting the rebel side, providing bombing support to enable them to advance and refusing to implement a cease-fire as demanded by the [United Nations and African Union](#), NATO is enabling serious abuses of human rights and NATO officials will certainly be held to account.

**DAILY KOS** Join the mailing list

NEWS    COMMUNITY    ACTION    Search

WADE NORRIS

# Clinton Emails Reveal True Motive for Libya Intervention



By wade norris

Friday Apr 29, 2016 · 3:05 PM CDT

<

While researching bringing water to desert regions, like how Israel is helping California build one of the [Biggest plants in Western Hemisphere](#),

I found out that as Libyan President Gaddafi was very [forward thinking on this subject as well](#).

*It was Muammar Gaddafi`s dream to provide fresh water for all Libyans and to make Libya self-sufficient in food production.*

*Libyans called it the eighth wonder of the world.*

I have always heard that Gaddafi was a tyrant. A dictator.

Related to terrorist actions, we've been told.

But something else was going on. Gaddafi was trying to transform Africa, and as appointed leader of the **African Union**, he had bold plans. One of these plans cost him his life, and the life of many others.

Gaddafi was planning the launch of a Pan-African currency called the Dinar made out of gold, which would replace Western Currency for trade in Africa, especially concerning Oil.

The United States, Britain and France, all had an interest in stopping this.

These countries used the freedom movements of the Arab Spring in Egypt and Tunisia to also appear to be happening in Libya.

But, if you research the backstory, Gaddafi was not being protested in the streets by his people, nor were there any killings.

What was the real reason to overthrow Gaddafi?

This:

[Hillary Emails Reveal True Motive for Libya Intervention](#)

Newly disclosed emails show that Libya's plan to create a gold-backed currency to compete with the euro and dollar was a motive for NATO's intervention.

The New Year's Eve release of over 3,000 new Hillary Clinton emails from the State Department has CNN [abuzz](#) over gossipy text messages, the "who gets to ride with Hillary" selection process set up by her staff, and how a "cute" Hillary photo fared on Facebook.

But historians of the 2011 NATO war in Libya will be sure to notice a few of the truly explosive confirmations contained in the [new emails](#): admissions of rebel war crimes, special ops trainers inside Libya from nearly the start of protests, Al Qaeda embedded in the U.S. backed opposition, Western nations jockeying for access to Libyan oil, the nefarious origins of the absurd Viagra mass rape claim, and concern over Gaddafi's gold and silver reserves threatening European currency.

It turns out our State department sent in Special Ops to train and arm rebels that were only successful because 'NATO bombed Libya back to the Stone Age'

For the Majority of Libyans, living in their country, who weren't particularly politically active, their quality of life was perfectly fine.

They had free education (up through College)

They had free Health (care)

They could study abroad

When they got Married, they were given a certain amount of money.

**So they were rather the envy of many citizens of other African countries.** (emphasis mine)

That last part is particularly important.

Because Gaddafi had a Pan-Africanist vision, including starting an

African Monetary Fund to rival the IMF and single currency to rival the Euro and the Dollar,

And,

he was also very tolerant compared to groups like Al-Qaeda.

For instance, unlike other Arab countries, [women could travel without an escort, get an education and participate in their government.](#)

More importantly, **Gaddafi embraced Black Libyans** living in his country, and visiting Black African workers in his country. There was no Arab / African divide such as in now separated Sudan.

So, now after Gaddafi is finally tracked down after relentless bombing, after the Rebels are propped up by our forces, he is caught and killed.

YAY Freedom, Right?

Wrong.

ESPECIALLY IF YOU ARE A BLACK LIBYAN OR VISITING BLACK AFRICAN WORKER.

There is, however, ample [documentation](#) by journalists, academics, and human rights groups demonstrating that black Libyan civilians and sub-Saharan contract workers, a population favored by Gaddafi in his pro-African Union policies, were targets of **“racial cleansing”** by rebels who saw black Libyans as tied closely with the regime.[1]

Black Libyans were commonly branded as **“foreign mercenaries”** by the rebel opposition for their perceived general loyalty to Gaddafi as a community and subjected to torture, executions, and their towns “liberated” by **ethnic cleansing**. This is demonstrated in the most well-documented example of [Tawergha](#), **an entire town of 30,000 black and “dark-skinned” Libyans which vanished by August 2011 after its takeover by NATO-backed NTC Misratan brigades.** (emphasis mine)

And the email?

A March 27, 2011, intelligence [brief](#) [[archived here](#)] on Libya, sent by long time close adviser to the Clintons and **Hillary’s unofficial intelligence gatherer, Sidney Blumenthal**, contains



clear evidence of war crimes on the part of NATO-backed rebels. Citing a rebel commander source "speaking in strict confidence" Blumenthal reports to Hillary [emphasis mine]:

Under attack from allied Air and Naval forces, the Libyan Army troops have begun to desert to the rebel side in increasing numbers. The rebels are making an effort to greet these troops as fellow Libyans, in an effort to encourage additional defections.

(Source Comment: Speaking in strict confidence, **one rebel commander stated that his troops continue to summarily execute all foreign mercenaries captured in the fighting...**).

The mercenaries were identifying Black Libyans as 'foreign mercenaries' and committing Genocide.

The image of the top of this story, is a screen shot of this video of black Libyans being rounded up and being forced to eat the Libyan flag.

Genocide was occurring.

Hillary Clinton as Secretary of State received emails about it.

We did nothing to stop it, and we helped to start it.

And [there were calls for peace](#) from Gaddafi and his son before his killing:

Rep. Dennis Kucinich wrote a letter to Clinton and Obama in August 2011, warning against the war. "I have been contacted by an intermediary in Libya who has indicated that President Muammar Gadhafi is willing to negotiate an end to the conflict under conditions which would seem to favor Administration policy," the Democratic lawmaker said. His plea was ignored.

A Pentagon intelligence official told Seif Qaddafi that his messages were falling on deaf ears. "Everything I am getting from the State Department is that they do not care about being part of this," he explained.

**"Secretary Clinton does not want to negotiate at all,"** the U.S. intelligence official added.

Now ISIS is moving from Syria to Libya and we have lost control of the region.

But hey, France, the US and other Western allies don't have to worry about Pan-African Currency or a rival to the International Monetary Fund.

And no longer does Libya have a sustainable agricultural base from Gaddafi's Water in the desert plan.

And before you attack Gaddafi,

He was picked by the African Union to be it's leader because he wanted to start the [United States of Africa](#), based on the model of the United States of America.

Maybe that's why Nelson Mandela [considered him a friend](#).

Either way, in all of the searching by the Republicans, their attempt to make Benghazi a scandal, it is not the scandal. That was less than 10 Americans.

I have just given you the documented evidence of at least 30,000 Black Libyans ethnically cleansed by Rebels the United States illegally armed and helped through a NATO bombing campaign.

Unlike the Extra Judicial murder of Osama Bin Laden, Gaddafi was not hidden within another country, he was the leader of Libya, dictator or not, he was a stable force.

One of the actions of the Special Ops was to release prisoners in Libya. Some 600 Al Qaeda radicals had been kept in prison by the Libyan government. They were freed to join in the Rebel uprising. Now, Al Qaeda and even worse, ISIS has been unleashed in the area. Gaddafi warns of the consequences of this action.

Much like Bush and Cheney's overthrow of Saddam Hussein, they could not predict the outcomes of destabilizing a region.

And the evidence to overthrow him was made on false charges, just like the WMD's with Hussein.

On February 21, when the first alarmist "warnings" about "genocide" were being made by the Libyan opposition, both [Al Jazeera](#) and the [BBC](#) claimed that Gaddafi had deployed his air force against protesters—as the BBC "reported": "Witnesses say warplanes have fired on protesters in the city". Yet, on March 1, in a [Pentagon press conference](#), when asked: "Do you see any evidence that he [Gaddafi] actually has fired on his own people from the air? There were reports of it, but do you have independent confirmation? If so, to what extent?" U.S. Secretary of Defense Robert Gates replied, "We've seen the press reports, but we have no confirmation of that". Backing him up was Admiral Mullen: "That's correct. We've seen no confirmation whatsoever".

In fact, claims that Gaddafi also used helicopters against unarmed protesters are [totally unfounded](#), a pure fabrication based on fake claims. This is important since it was Gaddafi's domination of Libyan air space that foreign interventionists wanted to nullify, and therefore myths of atrocities perpetrated from the air took on added value as providing an entry point for foreign military intervention that went far beyond any mandate to "protect civilians".

And after the country was 'bombed into the Stone Age', how did that Regime change work out?

**JO BECKER:** But the new Western-backed government proved incapable of uniting Libya. And in the end, the strongman's death led to chaos. When four Americans were killed by terrorists in Benghazi in 2012, it revealed just how bad things had gotten. **Colonel Gaddafi's huge arsenal of weapons has shown up in the hands of terrorists in places like Gaza, Syria,**

**Nigeria and Mali. Hundreds of thousands of migrants have fled through Libya on boats. Many have drowned. And the power vacuum has allowed ISIS to build its most dangerous outpost on the Libyan coast.** (emphasis mine)

Today, just 300 miles from Europe, Libya is a failed state. Meanwhile, back at home, Mrs. Clinton has struggled to defend the decision to intervene.

Emails were sent and received acknowledging this to Secretary Clinton. Democracy Now Transcript — part of the video is produced by **CBS News**

at 2:04 in the video:

**SECRETARY OF STATE HILLARY CLINTON:** We came, we saw, he died.

***At this point, Hillary laughs.***

If you are not sufficiently angry, I suggest you search videos of the bombing campaign NATO conducted and see how many children were killed or are lying on operating tables crying.

Destabilizing the region has led to mass refugee migration from the area, in Syria and Libya as well as other North African countries.

Watch the videos. If you don't trust this report, then ask yourself...

if Amy Goodman and Democracy Now is now no longer trusted,

just like Black Lives Matter is no longer trusted,

just like Greenpeace is no longer trusted...

Maybe, just maybe, there is something more to the story.

This is not about a Presidential campaign, although that may be your take, it is about the United States' role in helping foster the Genocide of Black Libyans and Africans just to stop a new form of African currency.

[Home](#) > [News](#) > Black genocide in Libya - why the silence?

## Black genocide in Libya - why the silence?

Submitted 19 Sep 2011 2:21pm

<

When the Libyan uprising first occurred in February, everyone backed the rebel fighters looking to topple Colonel Muammar Gaddafi and his torturous regime. From President Barack Obama to Prime Minister David Cameron and even NATO gave their support to the fighters.

But with reports and allegations emerging of suspected "ethnic cleansing" against Black people living in Libya, all the leaders seem to have lost their voice.

It seems to be open season on the Black community in Libya, who labelled as pro-Gaddafi mercenaries and targeted by rebel fighters. An investigation by the BBC found allegations of abuse against African migrant workers despite the National Transitional Council calling for its fighters to show restraint and avoid revenge attacks.

There are fears that hundreds, if not thousands of Black men and women have been captured and thrown in jail without being charged for any crimes or being Gaddafi loyalist, just because of the colour of their skin.

The BBC uncovered evidence of a violent campaign of abuse and intimidation against the black immigrant community in Tripoli and tells the story of a Nigerian family whose house was targeted by rebels.

The rebels allegedly stole their possessions and money, abducting the father of the house and assaulted his 16-year-old daughter. Sadly, it seems this is not an isolated incident and the targeting and suspected "ethnic cleansing" of Black people is a real concern.

The Wall Street Journal reported that Black people from the city of Tawergha have been forced out, with words such as "slaves" and "negroes" scribbled on abandoned buildings.

Amnesty International released a major report last week into the human rights violations during the Libyan conflict. It is mentioned the report that when Al-Bayda, Benghazi, Derna, Misratah and other cities first fell under the control of the NTC in February, anti-Gaddafi forces carried out house raids, killings and other violent attacks against suspected mercenaries, either sub-Saharan Africans or black Libyans. It is a war crime for any party to a conflict to kill prisoners.

And Amnesty fear the reprisals and the Black genocide in Libya will continue. But the question remains, why have there been no statements issued by the White House or Downing Street condemning the

violence against the Black population in Libya. And also, the rebels seem to be denying the same freedom to others which they have been fighting for in the removal of Gaddafi. So what makes them different from the previous regime?



Search...

HOME U.S. NEWS WORLD NEWS ECONOMY SCI/TECH CULTURE OPINION/REVIEWS FREEDOM

Thursday, 15 September 2011

## Libyan Rebels Accused of "Ethnic Cleansing," Black Genocide

Written by [Alex Newman](#)

<

NATO and U.S.-backed rebel forces in Libya are reportedly engaging in systematic attacks against the black population in what some analysts have called war crimes and even genocide, sparking condemnation worldwide from human-rights groups and officials.

Reports and photographic evidence indicate that numerous atrocities including mass executions have taken place even in recent weeks. Many black victims were found with their hands bound behind their backs and bullets through their skulls.

Horroric internment camps, systematic rape, torture, lynching, and looting of businesses owned by blacks have all been reported as well. And countless sub-Saharan Africans have been forced to flee their homes in Libya to avoid the same fate.

The [al-Qaeda-linked](#) rebels' campaign of racist terror began shortly after the Benghazi uprising in February. More than a few videos surfaced on the internet in the early months of the conflict [showing](#) brutal lynchings and beheadings while Western-backed rebels cheered.

But as insurgent forces solidify their grip over most of Libya, the race-based persecution is quickly intensifying. Entire cities and towns formerly occupied by blacks have been ethnically cleansed and destroyed.

"The Brigade for Purging Slaves, black Skin" — apparently a rebel slogan — was [found](#) months ago scrawled all along the road to Tawergha. And today, the coastal city of about 10,000 mostly black residents has essentially been wiped off the map. Rebel forces rounded up remaining inhabitants and reportedly took them to camps, although [reporters](#) searching for the former residents have not been able to locate them. Homes, businesses, and schools were then looted before being burned to the ground. Finally, graffiti reading "slaves," "negroes," and "abeed" — a derogatory term for blacks — was [painted](#) all over the ruins by NATO's revolutionaries. The former city is now a "closed military area," [according](#) to rebels guarding a checkpoint interviewed by the McClatchy news service.

"Tawarga no longer exists," a rebel commander [told](#) the *Wall Street Journal*. Another rebel fighter [boasted](#) more recently: "We are setting it on fire to prevent anyone from living here again."

The anti-black brutality could also be found in the capital, Tripoli, according to a correspondent for the *Sydney Morning Herald* and others. Earlier this month Ruth Pollard [described](#) a prison where she found, among other horrors, jail cells packed with more than 25 prisoners.

In another cell: two black men in desperate need of medical care. One of them, a 28-year-old Nigerian, was doused with gasoline and set ablaze in a racially motivated attack. He had been in the rebel-run prison — burnt skin peeling off his face — for nine days without so much as a visit from a doctor. And there was a hospital just a few hundred yards away.

"Anyone with dark skin — regardless of their loyalties — can find themselves a target," the *Herald* reported. Countless analysts have reached the same conclusion.

Late last month, the U.K. *Independent* [reported](#) that a makeshift hospital had become a ghastly crime scene. Dozens of men, almost all of them black, were murdered and left to rot — some of them still hooked up to medical equipment.

"The killings were pitiless," the paper noted. "Many of [the victims] had their hands tied behind their back, either with plastic handcuffs or ropes. One had a scarf stuffed into his mouth."

Much of the black population in Libya today is native, coming from the Southern regions of the Arab-dominated nation — and some of them did indeed fight as soldiers in Gaddafi's military. Other dark-skinned people in Libya are simply migrant workers trying to earn a living. But they have all become targets.

At least part of the violent campaign launched against them has been attributed to early reports that Gaddafi was using sub-Saharan mercenaries to suppress the Western-backed insurrection. International human-rights investigators in Libya later [reported](#) that the allegations were completely false. But the narrative had already taken on a life of its own after being widely disseminated in the press. "In February, there was this rumor about Gadhafi using black people as mercenaries — that's wrong," Amnesty International's Nicolas Beger [told](#) the Associated Press. "But the [National Transitional Council] has not done a lot to curb that rumor and now there is a lot of retaliation against sub-Saharan Africans. Whether they were or they weren't involved with the Gadhafi forces, they are at real risk of being taken from their work or their homes or the street to be tortured or killed."

In a [report](#) released this week, Amnesty International said the Gaddafi regime had perpetrated widespread abuses. But rebel forces associated with the National Transitional Council (NTC) "have also committed human rights abuses, in some cases amounting to war crimes," it noted. The [new regime](#) has [promised](#) to investigate.

Other organizations and observers have also called for an end to the lawlessness — and particularly the targeting of blacks. "It's a dangerous time to be dark-skinned in Tripoli," [noted](#) Sarah Leah Whitson, the Middle East and North Africa director for Human Rights Watch. "The NTC should stop arresting African migrants and black Libyans unless it has concrete evidence of criminal activity. It should also take immediate steps to protect them from violence and abuse."

As *The New American* [reported](#) last month, evidence of widespread war crimes committed by the rebels and their NATO partners continues to mount. Everything from bombing women and children to destroying essential civilian infrastructure has been well documented. But the attacks on blacks have been particularly vicious.

Repercussions over what observers have labeled ethnic cleansing are being felt all over Africa and beyond. Chairman Jean Ping of the African Union Commission [told](#) reporters last week that the anti-black attacks were one reason many African governments refuse to recognize the new regime as Libya's legitimate government.

"Blacks are being killed. Blacks are having their throats slit. Blacks are accused of being mercenaries," Ping explained. "Do you think it's normal in a country that's a third black that blacks are confused with mercenaries?"

In the United States, Americans of African ancestry have been fiercely critical of developments, too. "The Libya war proved to the world that the lives of Black people have no value for NATO, Western leaders, and editorial writers," wrote *Black Star News* in a piece blasting the *New York Times*' mild rebuke of the genocide.

And U.S. Rep. Jesse Jackson, Jr. joined a growing chorus of Congressmen calling for war-crimes investigations. "Racism in the form of ethnic cleansing, killing and genocide is wrong anytime, anyplace and against anybody in the world," he said on September 14.

While some analysts celebrate the apparent downfall of the brutal Gaddafi regime, [fears are growing that the worst may be yet to come for Libya](#) - especially for blacks. And as *The New American* has [reported since March](#), the [well-documented](#) terrorist affiliations of rebel leaders have experts worried that the [emerging Islamist regime](#) could be even worse than the last.



Latest, Our Picks

## US fighter jets provide air support to ISIS in Syria. The masks have now come off



ALEX CHRISTOFOROU

September 18, 2016, 5:46 pm

39

7524

<

**American fighter jets are working to help ISIS gain ground in Syria.**

The United States air strikes against Syrian army positions in Deir Ezzor, and in support of ISIS, has finally revealed what many who follow the Syria conflict outside the echo chamber of western mainstream media already know.

The United States is fighting alongside ISIS. There is no need to sugar coat this issue any longer. It is time to call it for what it is. **Syria is America's newest war, and its latest invasion.**

ISIS is America's proxy gone wild...and just because ISIS has gone a bit rogue, it does not mean that the US is still not supporting its proxy army.

The majority of boots on the ground in Syria are not American. They are however American trained and funded. They are called Al Nusra, Al Qaeda, and they are also called ISIL, or Daesh, or ISIS.

The fog of war over Syria is clearing. The goal is extremely simple and focused for the US and its coalition partners; Saudi Arabia, Qatar, and Turkey. **The mantra remains, 'Assad must go.'**

The Duran's [Alexander Mercouris detailed the moment](#) when the curtain was pulled back, in what was US air strikes on the Syrian military positions near the eastern Syrian city of Deir Ezzor. Air strikes that targeted the Syrian army, and helped ISIS terrorists gain ground in Syria.

As The Duran reported...

-the US claim that the attack was a mistake stretches credulity to breaking point.

The US says the situation in Syria is complicated, which is true, and says that this is the reason for the attack, which it insists was a mistake. **The key point however is that though the situation may be complicated in other parts of Syria, it is not complicated in Deir Ezzor at all.**

**There are only two parties fighting each other in this part of Syria: the Syrian army and ISIS.** Other Jihadi groups in this part of Syria have either been driven out by ISIS or have been absorbed by ISIS, which is the only military force fighting the Syrian army in this area.

It is just possible that the US confused Syrian troops with ISIS troops, and attacked them by mistake in this area. However given the comprehensive surveillance means the US has at its disposal, that hardly seems likely.

Besides it is not clear why the US is carrying out air strikes against ISIS in the area of Deir Ezzor at all, when the only force which is fighting ISIS in this area is not any one of the US's allies or supposed allies but the Syrian army.

**As it happens, the Russians and the Syrians say the attack was made near a Syrian air force base which ISIS was attacking, enabling ISIS to capture a defence line near the base. If so, it is all but inconceivable the US didn't know this, and didn't know who it was attacking.**

Yesterday's attack of a sovereign government in support of what has become the most deadly and evil terrorist group on the planet, reveals that we are inching towards a war of epic proportions.

Yesterday's US attack on Syria in support of ISIS, is the action we needed to see behind the lies served up in White House press briefings.

America is working hand in glove with ISIS, because in Syria a black flag over Damascus is preferable to having a secular, stable Assad government.

The war in Syria is not civil, it is a violent regime change invasion, with a creative workaround meant to bypass America's reluctance to send its own sons and daughters to fight for the House and Saud and Clinton Foundation fortunes. The workaround is ISIS.



[Britain](#) [World](#) [Editorial](#) [Features](#) [Sport](#) [Arts](#)

## Damascus Slams US Air Support For Isis

SEP  
2016

Tuesday 20<sup>TH</sup>

posted by [Morning Star in World](#)

*Strike by coalition planes leaves civilians at mercy of terrorists*



SYRIA accused the United States of “blatant aggression” yesterday as Britain admitted its warplanes took part in Saturday’s air raid in support of Islamic State.

Syrian President Bashar al-Assad echoed government ministries and Iran in describing the attack on a key army position in the Isis-besieged city of Deir Ezzor as co-ordinated help for the terrorists.

Iranian Deputy Foreign Minister Hossein Jaber Ansari, meeting Mr Assad, vowed that Tehran would “give all possible support” to Syria against foreign terrorists.

Denmark said yesterday that two of its F-16 fighter-bombers had also joined the hour-long blitz on Tharda mountain overlooking the city’s airport, which ended just as Isis began its attack.

On Sunday, Australian Prime Minister Malcolm Turnbull said he regretted “the loss of life and injury to any Syrian personnel affected” in the attack, which also involved planes from his country.

At least 62 Syrian defenders of the city were killed and more than 100 injured by the US-led coalition. The capture of their position left the airport, the only lifeline to 200,000 civilians trapped in the city, exposed to Isis fire.

Syria’s Foreign Ministry wrote to UN secretary-general Ban Ki Moon and security council on Sunday, saying: “The facts speak for themselves. This attack is deliberate and the US has plotted it in order to implement its strategy in continuing the terrorists’ war against the Syrian army.”

Also on Sunday, the Syrian People’s Assembly urged its sister parliaments worldwide to condemn the outrage and all terrorist attacks on Syria.

US Secretary of State John Kerry could only offer weasel words in return, claiming: “It happens when you have conflict” and calling Mr Assad a “spoiler” in the ceasefire.

But Russian General Sergei Rudskoi said yesterday that US-backed insurgents had killed 63 civilians and 153 soldiers during the week-old truce.

“It has become meaningless for the Syrian government forces to unilaterally observe the ceasefire,” he said, adding the US had failed to separate its favoured insurgents from al-Qaida as agreed.

George Sabra, whose Syrian National Council is part of the Saudi-convened High Negotiations Committee of rebel groups, said: “I believe that the truce is clinically dead.”



**NEO**  
New Eastern Outlook

ENG RUS

RSS

Add to favorites



[Home](#) [Columns](#) [Locations](#) [Contributors](#) [Search](#)

14.12.2015 Author: Gordon Duff

## How John McCain Crippled Obama’s War on ISIS

Column: Politics Region: Middle East

<

A story leaked out of Washington credits Senator John McCain, empowered by America’s corrupt congress to oversee Pentagon programs, with derailing the Obama administration’s “coalition bombing campaign” in Syria and Iraq. McCain and key right wing extremists, working in concert with Turkish, Israeli and Saudi intelligence, have placed a “political shield” over terrorist targets in Iraq and Syria, something that has frustrated American pilots, silenced by the threat of imprisonment or worse.

Reports from pilots and sources up and down the Pentagon chain of command tell an interesting story. Considering America’s years of experience at “precision bombing” and the vast intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance capabilities of the world’s largest military, America’s utter failure in curtailing ISIS and her dozens of “sister organizations” has been inexplicable.

American pilots flying over Iraq and Syria have quietly leaked their story for over a year now but no news agency will carry it. They say they have flown over oil tanker convoys 4 lanes wide at times and been told to stay silent.

They report mysterious aircraft dropping supplies to ISIS and al Nusra, they are silenced on that as well.

The most common report, however, is massive parking lots filled with hundreds, even thousands of Humvees, Abrams tanks, artillery pieces, support vehicles of all kinds, all “hands off” at the orders of the Pentagon.

In previous bombing campaigns in Iraq and Afghanistan, American pilots and drone operators admittedly suffered “collateral damage” at levels from 50% to almost 90% by some estimates. This could be the reason the US has somehow ordered pilots, both “cockpit” and “deskbound drone,” to “stand down” when they find juicy targets of opportunity.

They say it isn't, that many of these targets are totally in the open or “well worth the risk.” In fact some pilots are totally dispirited from seeing the same “fat targets” over and over while they are sent after road checkpoints or abandoned buildings.

McCain, chairman of the powerful Senator Armed Services Committee, has strangled America's efforts to the point where there are no sufficient munitions available. America is flying operations over Syria and Iraq with the aging F 16 recently upgraded for improved all weather capability using typical payloads of World War II era iron bombs.

Note that John McCain's personal trips to Syria, meeting with the heads of ISIS and al Nusra, deeply parallel his earlier actions in Vietnam when as a prisoner of war McCain trained North Vietnamese air defense forces to shoot down American planes and made dozens of anti-American propaganda broadcasts.

McCain was pardoned by President Nixon when facing court martial and his records sealed and “whitewashed” by the press.

### Crippled Operations

America is beholden to Turkey for the use of an airbase for operations in Syria and Iraq, bombing runs that restrict loiter time and can require air refueling as America's aircraft carriers are now being kept in port with rare forays into the Pacific or Indian Ocean, all on McCain's orders.

Even America's huge airbase in Qatar, home to the B1B and B52 fleet of heavy bombers, seen over Kobani, is now “frozen” and “off limits” for anti-ISIS operations.

### **Making the Case**

Taking the anonymous statements of half a dozen pilots and extrapolating a pattern requires support, both direct and anecdotal. Below we list some factors used:

- Traditionally, the US reports some collateral damage while foreign press is more forthcoming. However, there are almost no reports of collateral damage by US planes with the exception of assertions by Russia and Syria that coalition planes are actually actively flying air support for ISIS and al Nusra operations, bombing power stations and “accidentally” hitting Syrian Army units involved in critical operations.
- US capability, advanced weapons and targeting capability, when combined with the available aircraft in the US inventory at over 1000, should have made all movement and resupply in both Iraq and Syria through use of “kill zones” and impossibility within two weeks. A year later and ISIS heavy armour convoys are as safe on Iraq roads as though they were snug at home in their mother's arms.
- Failure to bring America's A10 “Warthog” squadrons to Iraq, the only planes America has in its inventory capable of obliterating oil convoys and other ISIS assets, is more than curious, it is criminal.
- That Russia, within weeks, was able to destroy hundreds of “fat targets,” command centers, fuel and ammunition depots, equipment storage facilities and training camps, most of which had been “up and running” through the entire coalition bombing campaign but untouched, speaks volumes as to the truth of reports by American pilots of “hands off” orders.
- America's refusal to share targeting data with Russia may well be more than simply politics. Sharing this data would reveal patterns of deception and misdirection that would, we believe, inexorably lead to exposure of the American led bombing program as a sham.

The political issue that few outside Washington can understand is how an American politician like McCain, whose “long game” could be anything, treason, personal gain or “he knows something we don't,” can govern or even overrule the White House.


That answer is not simple, that American military commanders are inherently, and we are saying a majority, deceptive, disloyal and conspiratorial. Since Obama was elected, Washington has been deluged with rumors of a military coup against the government. Literally thousands of military officers have been “cashiered” for misconduct of all kinds, sexual, gambling or incompetence, with the real underlying reason being treason.

For decades, AIPAC, the Israeli lobby in Washington, was believed to control congress, the press and the American military. In recent months, however, it has become clear that AIPAC has always acted in concert with Turkish intelligence services and the powerful Saudi lobby.

As al Qaeda has moved from “terror group” to “loyal American ally,” pulling a decade of conspiracy theorists into the mainstream, the exposure of the Israeli, Turkish, Saudi alliance with the American right and their love affair with ISIS is also coming into focus.

With that, with the right and all that cash, all that influence and the bizarre and unfathomable Mr. McCain, more is coming into focus as well, how America’s Air Force may actually be ISIS’ air force.

Another explanation would be welcome but thus far is not supportable by facts.



Analysis

ISIS, a Partner America Can Live With



America’s “coalition” may well be splitting apart. With Turkey opening the floodgates into Europe and their carpet bombing of Kurds both inside Turkey and in Iraq and Syria, Germany, Russia and France are now looking to Assad.

What they and others are now being forced to admit, not just because of WikiLeaks, but from endless evidence mounting up, is that the American coalition has always seen ISIS as a partner, not a target and

that the “coalition” has always been about destroying the Damascus government, no matter the human suffering and now total disruption of Europe that it causes.

Australia just joined the American led coalition supposedly fighting ISIS in the Middle East. As rank amateurs at “diplomacy,” read “lying,” Australia has let the proverbial cat out of the bag. Australia has a deal with ISIS, they will leave them alone as long as they attack Syria. Australia isn’t there to fight ISIS, they are there to guarantee that ISIS keep its deal with the US, to go after Assad.

The coalition, it seems, in accordance with recently released 2011 documents by WikiLeaks, was formed to help ISIS take out Assad when they weren’t able to do it themselves. Thus, the continual Israeli bombing of Syrian artillery and command positions, whenever ISIS is in retreat, can now be put in proper context.

Israel flies overt air support for ISIS while official coalition members simply clear the way for them with focused bombing attacks on obscure targets that never challenge the ISIS war on Syria that has caused up to 7 million refugees to descend on Europe.

As long as the American coalition is there as a place holder, there will never be an air war on ISIS by serious players, or so America believes.

There is evidence that the refugee crisis, a game intended to gain leverage in Europe by crippling governments with internal dissent, may have backfired. In a Germany news article dated September 12, 2-15:

“Germany is surprisingly quitting the anti-Putin Alliance created by the United States: Germany now officially welcomes Moscow’s readiness to engage with Syria and launches an initiative to end the war with the Russians and the French. Thus, the stream of refugees is to be stopped. Germany put thousands of soldiers on standby.

Germany surprising quits Alliance with the United States, which wanted to prevent a participation of Russia in Syria.

Defence Minister Ursula von der Leyen told der Spiegel, they Welcome Russia’s President Vladimir Putin’s involvement in the fight against the extremist Islamic State. It is in the common interest, to combat the IS, she said.

A spokesman of the Foreign Ministry also said Germany would welcome a greater engagement of Russia in the fight against the IS. German Foreign Minister Frank-Walter Steinmeier even announced, with Russian Foreign Minister Lavrov and the French colleague Laurent Fabius, a push to start to end the civil war in Syria. Lavrov and Fabius are expected in Berlin on Saturday.”

Britain and France had never officially joined the US led bombing attacks now being recharacterized by some as “pro-terrorist” rather than “anti-terrorist.” Each has engaged in unauthorized attacks inside Syria on targets related to their own narrow national interests. It is, however, now clear that all bombing attacks in Syria and Iraq as well have been of that same character, too often accidental attacks on “friendly” forces or air cover for ISIS resupply runs, as the Iraqi government has long asserted.

Thus, when Turkey joined the coalition in June 2015 and then again in August and September, and yet has never bombed an ISIS target after thousands of sorties, mostly against Kurdish civilians, one wonders why the West can be surprised at the massive influx of refugees.

This is simply another political move, this time against Western Europe.

The refugees aren’t just fleeing ISIS, now admittedly receiving air support from Israel and the American coalition, but they are fleeing that same air support which is targeting them as well.

The utter and absolute failure of America’s “shock and awe” bombing campaign, having destroyed nation after nation in the past is telling.

Very few American attacks have been against the primary area of operations of ISIS, Idlib and Raqqah in Syria. What few attacks there have been against enemies of ISIS and have not moved to degrade their military or even chemical warfare capability.

There have certainly been no efforts to attack ISIS lines of supply or their real transit capabilities, which allow them to move jihadists during daylight over hundreds of miles.

You see, those supply depots, ISIS bases and the roads that carry their supply convoys are mostly inside Turkey.

There, ISIS has air bases, supply planes, helicopters and can even receive their trucks by shiploads, dropped off at Turkish ports in the North like they are offloaded at Jordanian ports in the South. What the leaders of Europe are seeing with the sudden influx of refugees isn't a change in conditions, an increase in combat, a change on the battlefield, but rather a decision made by Turkey's Erdogan, with or without the tacit approval of his friends in Tel Aviv, Washington and Riyadh, to flood Europe. There is also no way to deal with the regional threat of terror states, the IS, Turkey, perhaps Israel and others without considering the inclusion of the Kiev backed regime.

The coordinated attacks on both Kiev and Damascus, orchestrated by the west and targeting Russia, are inexorable conclusions. In this light, the ties between ISIS and Kiev, both political and military, are inexorable as well. The only difference between them is that Kiev was successful and the "Mosul regime" was not.

The parallels between them are considerable with one exception, ISIS has not downed a passenger airliner.



The screenshot shows a website header with a navigation bar containing links for Home, About, Legal, Advertising, Donate, and Contact Us. Below this is a large blue logo that reads "HANG THE BANKERS". A secondary navigation bar includes a home icon, "WORLD NEWS", "ECONOMY", "POLITICS", "SCI-TECH", and "HEALTH". The main article title is "Manhattan explosion being used to coverup US war crime in Syria", dated September 18, 2016, by Filip Karinja.

Before today's explosion in Manhattan, the news for the day was of the United States airforce conducting four separate airstrikes on the Syrian army in [Syria killing 62 soldiers](#) and injuring 100 more.

The soldiers, representing the elected Syrian government, were in battle against ISIS when the US war planes came and were essentially acting as air support for ISIS by bombing the Syrian soldiers near the city of Deir ez-Zor, Syria.

Russia called for an immediate UN meeting to find out why the US had once again acted on behalf of ISIS in Syria.

The United States' only response was to accuse Russia of grandstanding, avoiding the actual subject as to why they had bombed soldiers of an elected foreign government, a clear act of war.

However, western media has done its best to coverup the latest Syrian incident and when it does talk about it, like all the other times the US has killed innocent people, language such as 'may' 'allegations' 'reports say' 'could' is used rather than labelling it as fact.

Australian media has completely buried the story, the same way they didn't even report on the news in July of this year when US and French planes bombed a Syrian village [killing over 85 civilians](#). Apparently this was not newsworthy either, but news on what some celebrity did that day apparently was.

Hence if you speak to people who get their information from the mainstream media about the incident they will tell you that they have never heard about it, the same people who still don't know about things like [WTC building 7](#).

Perhaps this is why [trust in the mainstream media](#) is at its lowest point in history against all demographics, as people are beginning to wake up to see the bigger picture of what's going on and why.

For example, when the US isn't [bombing civilians](#), [bombing hospitals](#), [training ISIS terrorists](#), [airdropping 50 tons of weapons to ISIS](#), they are [staging false flags to start wars](#).

Let's face it, the [US economy runs on war](#).

Last week the US handed Israel a record [\\$38 billion in military aid](#) and [\\$1.15 billion of tanks and weapons](#) to Saudi Arabia. If you needed further proof, [CNN's Wolf Blitzer warned of job losses](#) if the US didn't keep selling arms to the Saudis. Somehow keeping economic numbers in tact is more important than the lives of human beings.

The US ['war on terror' has been a complete failure](#) with \$5 trillion having been spent since 9/11, only to see terrorism rise by 6,500%.

As [comedian Steve Hughes](#) points out regarding the 'war on terror', "So, you're having a war against the consequence of the actions you're involved in?"

Essentially, what we saw today was that US once again carrying out an act of terrorism in a foreign country and the global community including the United Nations watching on and doing absolutely nothing.

Turn to the media and all they want to cover is the [pipe bomb explosion in Manhattan](#), that going by history was most likely planted by the CIA to be used to further a political agenda for the ruling establishment.

In this case to generate fear and distract from the events earlier in the day in Syria.

We're not saying it was a false flag, however it is certainly being used by the media to distract people from the important news of a foreign government (United States) committing an act of war inside Syria yet again. A country which the US has no place in being involved in, same goes for Iraq, Afghanistan, Libya, Yemen, etc.

Often media distractions are done with news about Kim Kardashian or some other celebrity, however fear is a greater method of control, hence it is used by governments and agencies to seize power and control the opinion of the public, which is then later shaped through the use of the mainstream media.

If not done by the CIA, then the next most likely scenario would be blow back caused from the [1,300,000+ innocent people the US has killed](#) in the past decade in wars based on lies.

Out prayers go out to both the people in Syria and those in Manhattan, but could you imagine the mainstream media coverage on this if it was Russia that bombed the Syrian troops? It would be front page news with talks about sanctions on Russia, instead it was yet another act of terror by the United States and hence is not newsworthy.

# Consortiumnews.com

Independent Investigative Journalism Since 1995

[About](#)

[Archives](#)

[From the Archive Series](#)

[In Case You Missed...](#)

[October Surprise Series](#)

[Video Interviews](#)

[VIPS Memos](#)

## Hillary Clinton: Candidate of War

October 10, 2016

<

**Exclusive:** The U.S. political/media establishment only permits the propaganda version of the Syrian conflict – and Hillary Clinton fully embraced it in her belligerent comments in the second presidential debate, writes Daniel Lazare.

By Daniel Lazare

In case there was still any uncertainty, Hillary Clinton banished all doubt in her second debate with Donald Trump. A vote for her is a vote not only for war, but for war on behalf of Al Qaeda.

This is clear from her response to ABC reporter Martha Raddatz's painfully loaded question about the Syrian conflict. With Raddatz going on about the hundreds killed by the evil twins, Bashar al-Assad and Putin and even tossing in the Holocaust for good measure, Clinton saw no reason to hold back:

"Well, the situation in Syria is catastrophic and every day that goes by we see the results of the regime – by Assad in partnership with the Iranians on the ground, the Russians in the air – bombarding places, in particular Aleppo where there are hundreds of thousands of people, probably about 250,000 still left, and there is a determined effort by the Russian air force to destroy Aleppo in order to eliminate the last of the Syrian rebels who are really holding out against the Assad regime.

"Russia hasn't paid any attention to ISIS. They're interested in keeping Assad in power. So I, when I was secretary of state, advocated, and I advocate today, a no-fly-zone and safe zones. ... But I want to emphasize that what is at stake here is the ambitions and the aggressiveness of Russia. Russia has decided that it's all in in Syria, and they've also decided who they want to see become president of the United States too, and it's not me. I stood up to Russia, I've taken on Putin and others, and I would do that as president."

It was an astonishing performance, even for a presidential debate. Rarely have more lies and misstatements been crammed into a single two-minute statement.

Where to begin? For starters, there are not 250,000 people in Aleppo, but somewhere around 1.75 million, only a small portion of whom live in a rebel-controlled enclave in the city's east. Despite Clinton's claim that Russia is trying to "destroy Aleppo," most of the city manages to carry on quite peacefully despite rebel "hell cannons" lobbing [explosive-packed gas canisters](#) into government-controlled areas at regular intervals.

"One of the most striking things about Aleppo," *New York Times* reporter Declan Walsh [wrote](#) last May, "is how much of the city appears to be functioning relatively normally. Much of the periphery has been reduced to rubble. But in the city center, I saw people on the sidewalks, traffic flowing, hotels and cafes with plenty of customers, and universities and schools open for students."

Not so in the rebel-held east, however. Juan Cole [described](#) the area as "a bombed-out slum," a ghost town with a population conceivably as low as "a few tens of thousands." Life under the rebels is "miserable," he went on. "Some neighborhoods are controlled by Al-Qaeda, some by the hard line Salafi Jihadi 'Freemen of Syria' (Ahrar al-Sham), some by militias of, essentially, the Muslim Brotherhood."

## The Truth About the Rebels

Although Clinton seems to regard such elements as valiant freedom fighters, a U.S. Defense Department spokesman [confirmed](#) last April that Al Nusra, Al Qaeda's affiliate that recently renamed itself Jabhat Fateh al-Sham, or the Syria Conquest Front, was firmly in charge. "It's primarily al-Nusra who holds Aleppo," Col. Steve Warren [told](#) a press briefing.

When Secretary of State John Kerry tried to persuade "moderate" rebel forces to sever ties with Al Nusra during last month's brief ceasefire, *The Wall Street Journal* [reported](#) that some of the largest factions responded by "doubling down on their alliance" and drawing even closer to Al Qaeda. In other words, they flipped Kerry the bird.

The people Clinton supports are thus the same forces that brought down the World Trade Center 15 years ago, killing nearly 3,000 people and triggering a global war on terror that has allowed Al Qaeda to metastasize across half the globe, including its spinoff group, the Islamic State or ISIS.

The statement that "Russia hasn't paid any attention to ISIS" was similarly bizarre. When ISIS converged on Palmyra, in central Syria, in May 2015, it was the U.S. that held off bombing even though the ISIS fighters would have made perfect targets as they crossed [miles of open desert](#). Why didn't the United States attack and possibly keep the antiquities of Palmyra out of ISIS's hands?

[Explained](#) *The New York Times*: "Any airstrikes against Islamic State militants in and around Palmyra would probably benefit the forces of President Bashar al-Assad. So far, United States-led airstrikes in Syria have largely

focused on areas far outside government control, to avoid the perception of aiding a leader whose ouster President Obama has called for.”

In other words, the U.S. allowed ISIS to take one of the richest archeological sites in the Middle East even though it could have stopped it in its tracks. By the same token, it was Russian air strikes – [some of the heaviest, in fact, since Moscow entered the war in September 2015](#) – that enabled Syrian forces to retake the city the following March.

The idea that Russia doesn’t care about ISIS stood reality on its head. Moreover, when U.S. jets killed at least 62 government soldiers outside the ISIS-besieged town of Deir Ezzor last month, ISIS took advantage by launching an offensive just minutes after the bombing had ceased.

So, by holding its fire in the case of Palmyra and unleashing it in the case of Deir Ezzor, Washington – inadvertently or not – enables ISIS to advance and then gets huffy when anyone objects. As U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations Samantha Power declared when Russia dared call an emergency U.N. Security Council meeting in response: “Even by Russia’s standards, tonight’s stunt, a stunt replete with moralism and grandstanding, is uniquely cynical and hypocritical.”

The words were shocking not only because scores of people were dead, but also because Power was defending a bombing raid that had taken place on Syrian territory in flagrant violation of international law. While Syria’s sovereign government has requested Russia’s assistance, it has objected to the violation of its territory by the United States and its allies. That means the U.S. coalition has no legal right, under international law, to be operating in or over Syria.

## **Dangerous Escalation**

As for the “no-fly zone” that Clinton invoked, Gen. Martin E. Dempsey, chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, warned in early 2012 that it would mean mobilizing as many as 70,000 US military personnel to neutralize Syria’s extensive anti-aircraft network – and that was before Russia decided to buttress Syria’s defenses by installing [sophisticated S-300 and S-400 missiles](#). A “no-fly-zone” also would be [an act of war](#) in which the U.S. would not only have to fire on Syrian forces, but on Russian and Iranian forces, too. Instead of peace, the result would be a vast escalation.

Finally, Clinton’s reflexive Russia-bashing showed just how bellicose her worldview has become. If Trump was the first person in a presidential debate to threaten a rival with jail, Clinton was the first to label her opponent an agent of a hostile foreign power.

Yet, Clinton’s efforts to blame Russia for the Syria debacle make little sense. After all, Russia didn’t enter the war until September 2015, more than four years after the blood had started to flow. Rather than ambitions and aggressiveness, it’s clear that its concerns are far more practical. Russian President Putin knows all too well that if Assad falls, it will be a repeat of the Taliban victory in Afghanistan in 1996, but on a far grander scale.

As Alastair Crooke, a diplomat and veteran of British military intelligence, [observed in late 2015](#), Putin sees Syria as “Russia’s veritable front line”:

“Russia recalls how, after the Afghan war, radical Wahhabi-style Islam spread out from Afghanistan and reached up into Central Asia. Russia also recalls how the CIA and Saudi Arabia inflamed and used the Chechen insurgency to weaken Russia. ...

“But equally, President Putin shares the perception of many in the region that America and its allies are not serious about defeating ISIS. And sensing that the West was finally about to be lured by Turkey toward a no-fly zone – which would only end, as it did in Libya, in chaos – Putin played his surprise hand: he entered the war on ‘terrorism,’ blocked Turkey’s project to ‘re-Ottomize’ northern Syria, and challenged the West to join with him in the venture.”

The idea was to force the U.S. into waging a real war against violent Salafists who were threatening Russia via its soft underbelly. If so, the effort backfired since the only thing it accomplished was to anger Washington’s hardline foreign-policy establishment, which will undoubtedly be beside itself with fury if the rebels in east Aleppo are defeated.



## Trump's Foggy Account

Trump, fatuous businessman that he is, mostly seemed lost in a fog of his own making. Once or twice, though, he seemed to have a glimmer of an idea of what was at stake. "Now, she talks tough," he said of Clinton:

"She talks really tough against Putin and against Assad. She talks in favor of the rebels. She doesn't even know who the rebels are. You know, every time we take rebels whether it's in Iraq or anywhere else, we're arming people. And you know what happens? They end up being worse than the people [they overthrow]. Look at what she did in Libya with Gaddafi. Gaddafi is out. It's a mess. And by the way, ISIS has a good chunk of their oil. I'm sure you've probably heard that. It was a disaster. The fact is almost everything she has done has been a mistake and it's been a disaster."

Trump's comment was correct, more or less. Either Clinton doesn't know who the rebels are or is so consumed by enmity for Putin and Assad that she doesn't care.

Trump was also right that rebels often turn out to be worse than the strongmen they topple. In real life, Muammar Gaddafi was a grotesque goon. But compared to some of the Salafist head choppers who have filled the resulting power void in Libya, he seems more like Desmond Tutu in retrospect.

Much the same can be said for Assad. Arguably, he is little more than a Syrian Michael Corleone. But after somehow managing to survive five years of a U.S.-Turkish-Saudi onslaught – and in comparison to the barbarous Al Qaeda and ISIS fanatics operating in Syria – he now seems like Nelson Mandela.

In any event, Hillary Clinton has made it crystal clear. Anyone who votes for her is voting for greatly expanded warfare in the Middle East and probably military confrontation with Russia elsewhere as well. As bad as things are in Syria, in a few months they could get a lot worse. Don't say you weren't warned.

**AW** Aussie Network News

BRISBANE PERTH MELBOURNE SYDNEY WRITE FOR US

**POLITICS**

## World War 3 Update: Russia 'Satan' SS-18 Missile to Kill 4 Million People? Hit US Before Elections?

By Susmita Pathak Mishra

Posted on October 24, 2016



A photograph showing a large, dark, cylindrical missile (SS-18) lying horizontally on a launch pad or storage area. The missile is the central focus, with its nose cone visible on the left. In the background, there are other launch pads and structures under a blue sky with scattered clouds. A few people are visible near the base of the missile for scale.



